



Client Matter No. 80404.0015  
Express Mail No.: EV330613535US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No. 09/932,330

Application of: Jon M. Huppenthal, Thomas R. Seeman,  
Lee A. Burton

Filed: August 17, 2001

Art Unit: 2153

Examiner: Edelman, Bradley E.

Attorney Docket No. SRC012

For: SWITCH/NETWORK ADAPTER PORT FOR  
CLUSTERED COMPUTERS EMPLOYING A CHAIN OF  
MULTI-ADAPTIVE PROCESSORS IN A DUAL IN-LINE  
MEMORY MODULE FORMAT

Confirmation No.: 4801

Customer No.: **25235**

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL

MAIL STOP RCE

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The undersigned hereby certifies that the following documents:

1. Request for Continued Examination with \$790 fee;
2. Amendment and Response;
3. Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form;
4. Certificate of Mailing by Express Mail; and
5. Return postcard

relating to the above application, were deposited as "Express Mail", Mailing Label  
No. EV330613535US with the United States Postal Service, addressed to  
Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 21 Sept 2005

21 September 2005  
Date

21 September 2005  
Date

Mailer

  
Michael Martensen, Reg. No. 46,901  
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP  
One Tabor Center  
1200 17th Street, Suite 1500  
Denver, Colorado 80202  
(719) 448-5910 Tel  
(303) 899-7333 Fax



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

## Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application Number: 09/932,330

First Named Applicant: Jon M. Huppenthal, Thomas R. Seeman, Lee A. Burton

Examiner: Edelman, Bradley E.

Art Unit: 2153

Status of Application: Pending

### Tentative Participants:

(1) Michael C. Martensen

(2) Lee Burton

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Proposed Date of Interview: October 13, 2005

Proposed Time: 11:00 AM (AM/PM)

### Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic

(2) ☐ Personal

(3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES

☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

### Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rejection	1-36	Scardamalia	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) Rejection	1-36	Fu	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) Rejection	1-36	Miller	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

### Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

As presented in the amended filed with the RCE, Fu in view of Scardamalia, and in further view of Miller fails to disclose a processor element associated with a memory slot. This processor element is distinct from the at least one processor of the invention.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_.

### Note:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

 Sep 21, 2005  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Send to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450